

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/835,482 04/08/97 RUBIN

A 002

EXAMINER

GILDO E FATO  
515 ASH STREET  
LIBERTYVILLE IL 60048

HM12/0410

SEIDLECK, B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1615

DATE MAILED:

04/10/00

19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

<b>Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c)</b>	Application No. <b>08/835,482</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Rubin</b>
	Examiner <b>Brian K. Seidleck</b>	Group Art Unit <b>1615</b>

The Appeal Brief filed on Mar 13, 2000 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

Applicant is given a TIME LIMIT of ONE MONTH from the date of this letter or any time remaining in the period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing a new complete brief. If a new brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the appeal will be dismissed. The new complete brief must be filed IN TRIPPLICATE. See 37 CFR 1.192(a).

1.  The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.  The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.  At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.  The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.  The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.  A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
  - a.  the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
  - b.  the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.  The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.  The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.  Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

*Applicant is reminded that in the absence of a statement under 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7), and arguments to support said statement (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)), the Board shall select a single claim from the group and shall decide the appeal as to the ground of rejection on the basis of that claim alone.*

*J. Venkat*  
JYOTHSAN VENKAT, PH.D  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1500—1600